CORRECTION

Correction: Multiple Citation Indicators and Their Composite across Scientific Disciplines

John P.A. Ioannidis, Richard Klavans, Kevin W. Boyack

The authors would like to clarify that the correlations in Fig 1 are based on the log-transformed values for NC, H, Hm, S, SF, and SFL, using $\ln(val+1)/\ln(max(val)+1)$. Np and Cpp were not log-transformed.



Citation: Ioannidis JPA, Klavans R, Boyack KW (2016) Correction: Multiple Citation Indicators and Their Composite across Scientific Disciplines. PLoS Biol 14(8): e1002548. doi:10.1371/journal. pbio.1002548

Published: August 22, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 loannidis et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.




Fig 1. Correlation between number of citations and various citation indicators and other metrics in each of 12 different scientific fields. Abbreviations: PHYS, physics; MATH, mathematics; CS, computer science; CHEM, chemistry; ENG, engineering; EARTH, earth sciences; BIO, biology/biotechnology. INFDIS, infectious disease; MED, medicine; BRAIN, brain research; HEALTH, health sciences; SOC, social sciences. No data are shown on humanities, for which there are too few papers and too few citations in Scopus to allow meaningful analysis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002548.g001

Reference

1. Ioannidis JP, Klavans R, Boyack KW (2016) Multiple Citation Indicators and Their Composite across Scientific Disciplines. PLoS Biol 14(7): e1002501. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002501 PMID: 27367269